

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**

-----X  
STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE  
INSURANCE COMPANY,  
Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 14-cv-10266

UNIVERSAL HEALTH GROUP, INC.  
A/K/A MICHIGAN SPINE AND REHAB,  
UHG MANAGEMENT, LLC,  
PROFESSIONAL HEALTH SYSTEMS,  
LLC A/K/A PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL  
BILLING, LLC, SCOTT P. ZACK, D.C.,  
DAVID M. KATZ, D.C.,  
LOREN C. CHUDLER, D.O.,  
JOSEPH F. DESANTO, HORIZON  
IMAGING, LLC, CLEAR IMAGING, LLC,  
JEFF S. PIERCE, D.O.,  
THOMAS D. CARUSO, D.O., and  
KATHERINE H. KARO, D.O.,

Hon. Judith E. Levy

Magistrate Judge  
David R. Grand

Defendants.

-----X  
**STATE FARM’S MOTION TO STRIKE DEFENDANTS JEFF S. PIERCE  
D.O. AND THOMAS D. CARUSO, D.O.’S CONCURRENCE AND  
JOINDER IN MOTIONS TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF’S COMPLAINT**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b) and this Court’s inherent authority, Plaintiff State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company (“State Farm”) hereby moves to strike the Concurrence and Joinder in Motions to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Complaint (“Joinder”) filed by Defendants Jeff S. Pierce, D.O. (“Pierce”) and Thomas D. Caruso, D.O. (“Caruso”) (Dkt. No. 52). This Motion is based upon the accompanying brief, which is expressly incorporated herein.

Dated: May 16, 2014

/s/ Jared T. Heck  
Ross O. Silverman  
Eric T. Gortner  
Jared T. Heck  
Katten Muchin Rosenman, LLP  
525 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1600  
Chicago, Illinois 60661-3693  
(312) 902-5200  
ross.silverman@kattenlaw.com  
eric.gortner@kattenlaw.com  
jared.heck@kattenlaw.com

Thomas Cranmer  
Miller Canfield  
840 W. Long Lake Road  
Suite 200  
Troy, MI 48098  
(248)-267-3381  
cranmer@millercanfield.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff State Farm  
Mutual Automobile Insurance  
Company*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**

-----X  
STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE  
INSURANCE COMPANY,  
Plaintiff,

v.

UNIVERSAL HEALTH GROUP, INC.  
A/K/A MICHIGAN SPINE AND REHAB,  
UHG MANAGEMENT, LLC,  
PROFESSIONAL HEALTH SYSTEMS,  
LLC A/K/A PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL  
BILLING, LLC, SCOTT P. ZACK, D.C.,  
DAVID M. KATZ, D.C.,  
LOREN C. CHUDLER, D.O.,  
JOSEPH F. DESANTO, HORIZON  
IMAGING, LLC, CLEAR IMAGING, LLC,  
JEFF S. PIERCE, D.O.,  
THOMAS D. CARUSO, D.O., and  
KATHERINE H. KARO, D.O.,

Defendants.

Case No. 14-cv-10266

Hon. Judith E. Levy

Magistrate Judge  
David R. Grand

-----X  
**BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF STATE FARM'S MOTION TO STRIKE  
DEFENDANTS JEFF S. PIERCE D.O. AND THOMAS D. CARUSO, D.O.'S  
CONCURRENCE AND JOINDER IN MOTIONS TO DISMISS  
PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT**

**ISSUES PRESENTED**

Should the Court prohibit Defendants Pierce and Caruso from joining in motions to dismiss State Farm's Complaint filed by other Defendants where Pierce and Caruso's joinder was filed weeks after they filed their Answers?

State Farm' answer: "Yes"

Pierce and Caruso's answer: "No"

**CONTROLLING OR MOST APPROPRIATE AUTHORITIES**

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)

The Joinder filed by Defendants Pierce and Caruso (Dkt. No. 52) should be stricken as untimely. State Farm filed its Complaint in the instant action on January 21, 2014. (Dkt. No. 1.) On March 28, 2014, Pierce and Caruso – as well as Defendant Katherine H. Karo, D.O. – filed Answers to the Complaint. (*See* Dkt. Nos. 29, 30, 31.) In the weeks followed, several other co-defendants – including Defendants Scott P. Zack, D.C. (“Zack”), David M. Katz, D.C. (“Katz”), and Loren C. Chudler, D.O. (“Chudler”) – filed motions to dismiss State Farm’s Complaint. (Dkt. Nos. 45, 46; *see also* Dkt. Nos. 47, 49, 53.) On April 18, 2014, Pierce and Caruso filed their Joinder, which seeks to “concur in the relief sought by” the motions to dismiss filed by Zack, Katz, and Chudler. (Dkt. No. 52.) In other words, Pierce and Caruso seek dismissal of State Farm’s Complaint pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) as if they had filed their own motion to dismiss.

Based on the plain language of Rule 12(b), a motion to dismiss asserting any of the defenses in subsections (1) through (7) “must be made before pleading if a responsive pleading is allowed.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b). Pierce and Caruso filed their Joinder 21 days after their respective Answers were filed. The Joinder is therefore untimely under Rule 12(b) and should be stricken. *See Ohio Sav. Bank v. Manhattan Mortg. Co., Inc.*, No. 1:04-CV-128, 2005 WL 1075054, at \*5 (N.D. Ohio May 5, 2005) (applying Rule 12(b) and finding defendant “foreclosed from moving to dismiss after filing his answer”).

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(a), State Farm has conferred with counsel for Pierce and Caruso, who oppose the relief sought herein. Accordingly, this Motion is filed as opposed.

For the foregoing reasons, State Farm respectfully requests that the Court strike Pierce and Caruso's Concurrence and Joinder as untimely under Rule 12(b).

Dated: May 16, 2014

/s/ Jared T. Heck  
Ross O. Silverman  
Eric T. Gortner  
Jared T. Heck  
Katten Muchin Rosenman, LLP  
525 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1600  
Chicago, Illinois 60661-3693  
(312) 902-5200  
ross.silverman@kattenlaw.com  
eric.gortner@kattenlaw.com  
jared.heck@kattenlaw.com

Thomas Cranmer  
Miller Canfield  
840 W. Long Lake Road  
Suite 200  
Troy, MI 48098  
(248)-267-3381  
cranmer@millercanfield.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff State Farm  
Mutual Automobile Insurance  
Company*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on May 16, 2014, I electronically filed the foregoing Motion and Brief with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification to all counsel of record.

By: /s/ Jared T. Heck  
Jared T. Heck  
Katten Muchin Rosenman, LLP  
525 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1600  
Chicago, Illinois 60661-3693  
(312) 902-5200  
jared.heck@kattenlaw.com